Longitudinal Spin Transfer in Λ Production at HERMES



Image: Deutsches Elektronen Synchrotron

H. Cynthia Chiang
University of Illinois at Urbana–Champaign

SPIN 2002, Sept. 9–14

HERMES experiment

- Longitudinally polarized 27.6–GeV positron beam
- This analysis: unpolarized D, H, He, Ne, Kr gas targets
- All data 1996–2000: about 40 million DIS events



Probing fragmentation



Deep–inelastic scattering (DIS) event

???

THEN A MIRACLE OCCURS (a.k.a. fragmentation)



Lambda hyperon containing struck quark

How does struck quark spin influence Λ spin?

Can we use Λ hyperons as "polarimeters" for accessing structure functions (e.g. transversity)?

Extracting $D_{LL'}^{\Lambda}$

Lambda polarization

Longitudinal spin–transfer coefficient

$$P_q^{\Lambda} = P^{beam} \cdot D(y) \cdot D_{LL'}^{\Lambda}(z)$$

Beam polarization

Photon depolarization factor

Struck quark polarization

P_q^{Λ} — Accessible via self–analyzing weak decay of $\Lambda \to p + \pi^-$

P^{beam} — Available from experiment

$D(y)$ — Calculable from $y = \nu / E$ = relative energy transfer

$D_{LL'}^{\Lambda}(z)$ — Depends on $z = E_h / \nu$ = fractional hadron energy

Model predictions of $D_{LL'}^{\Lambda}$

Models assume "ideal situation":
(1) All lambdas contain struck quark
(2) Perfect helicity conservation in fragmentation

Naïve constituent quark model (NCQM)

- Lambda (quark content = uds) spin determined by strange quark alone: $\Delta u = \Delta d = 0$, $\Delta s = 1$
- u–quark dominance in DIS, spin transfer is zero

Burkardt–Jaffe SU(3)

- Use SU(3) to relate proton spin structure to Λ spin structure
- Spin transfer is small and negative, about –0.2

Phenomenological models



All agree that $D_{LL'}^{\Lambda}$ rises with z

Gribov–Lipatov relation:
$\Delta q / q$ in $\Lambda \to 1$
as $x \to 1$

⇐ NCQM

⇐ B–J SU(3)

Lambda reconstruction

- Look for events with three tracks: DIS positron, positive hadron, negative hadron
- Assume positive / negative hadron = proton / pion

Diagram of applied cuts





Feynman x variable:

$x_F = p_{||}^{*} / p_{beam}^{*}$

In virtual photon / target center of mass frame

Experimental results

Spin–transfer data





$$D^{\Lambda}_{LL'} = 0.04 \pm 0.09$$

- Spin transfer is small and appears to decrease at high *z*

ALEPH and OPAL

OPAL data



ALEPH data



- **OPAL and ALEPH data on Λ polarization sucessfully explained with monte carlo model**
- **Simple SU(3) model for hyperon spin structure**
- **Two assumptions:**
  - **(1) Hyperons not containing struck quark are unpolarized**
  - **(2) Perfect helicity conservation through fragmentation**

Monte carlo models

- Not all lambdas contain struck quark ... contamination from heavy hyperon decays: $\Sigma^*(1385)$, Σ^0, Ξ^0, Ξ^-
- Perform monte carlo studies to understand influence of each subprocess contributing to Λ production

Total spin–transfer coefficient

Sum over all subprocesses

$$D_{LL'}^{\Lambda} = \sum_{Y} f_q^Y C_q^Y$$

From monte carlo:
Subprocess's fractional contribution to Λ yield

- Direct Λ production
- Hyperon parent (Σ^*, Σ^0, Ξ^0, Ξ^-) containing struck quark
- Hyperon parent (Σ^*, Σ^0, Ξ^0, Ξ^-) not containing struck quark

From spin structure models:
Spin–transfer coefficient of individual subprocess

- Naïve constituent quark model
- Burkardt–Jaffe SU(3)
- Ashery–Lipkin SU(3)

Calculating C_q^Y



- Following Ashery and Lipkin, calculate spin–transfer coefficients from production and decay probabilities
- Decay probability is model–independent, obtain from Clebsch–Gordan coefficients
- Production probability depends on Λ spin structure, given by NCQM, Burkardt–Jaffe SU(3), Ashery–Lipkin SU(3) models
- Two assumptions:
  - (1) Perfect helicity conservation in fragmentation process
  - (2) All quarks (except struck quark) have random polarizations

Monte carlo results

Direct sources of Λ



Quark parents of Λ



Final spin–transfer models



- Significant contribution from heavy hyperon decays: 40% – 60% of all lambdas
- Very few lambdas contain struck quark: about 10% on average!
- Spin–structure models are relatively unimportant in comparison with monte carlo subprocess fractions

Λ's from target remnant



- Most Λ's from struck quark are produced in forward region ($x_F > 0$)
- Significant number of Λ's from target remnant also have $x_F > 0$!!
- Lambda production mechanisms are complicated by influence of target remnant

Conclusions

- Small spin transfer observed:

$$D_{LL}^{\Lambda} = 0.04 \pm 0.09$$

- Significant contribution from heavier hyperon decays (40% – 60%)
- Very few Λ's (about 10%) contain struck quark; explains why we see small spin transfer
- Many Λ's contain target remnant, even when $x_F > 0$
  - ☹ Definitely can't use Λ's as spin probes
  - ☺ CAN use Λ's to explore target fragmentation region ... possibly sensitive to Δs?

- New lambda wheels recently installed: will detect Λ's at $x_F < 0$
- Will soon have sensitivity to Λ's produced in target fragmentation



Contact information:
Cynthia Chiang
hchiang@npl.uiuc.edu